

El's Nonlocal Kinetic equation and its Hydrodynamic Reductions

Maxim V. Pavlov

Lebedev Physical Institute

The Talk is based on joint works with my friends and colleagues:
E.V. Ferapontov, G.A. El, A.M. Kamchatnov, V.B. Taranov, S.P. Tsarev, S.A. Zykov

20 October 2021

Conjugate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

We start from the linear system

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k,$$

where H_i and rotation coefficients β_{ik} depend on N independent variables r^k .

Conjugate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

We start from the linear system

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k,$$

where H_i and rotation coefficients β_{ik} depend on N independent variables r^k .

The compatibility conditions $\partial_i(\partial_j H_k) = \partial_j(\partial_i H_k)$ for every triad of distinct indices lead to the Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k.$$

Conjugate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

We start from the linear system

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k,$$

where H_i and rotation coefficients β_{ik} depend on N independent variables r^k .

The compatibility conditions $\partial_i(\partial_j H_k) = \partial_j(\partial_i H_k)$ for every triad of distinct indices lead to the Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k.$$

This system also can be obtained from the compatibility conditions $\partial_i(\partial_j \psi_k) = \partial_j(\partial_i \psi_k)$ for every triad of distinct indices, where the vector function ψ_i satisfies the adjoint linear system

$$\partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Lax Representation

According to a standard approach in the theory of integrable systems, we say:

Lax Representation

According to a standard approach in the theory of integrable systems, we say:

the Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k$$

is integrable by the Inverse Scattering Transform

Lax Representation

According to a standard approach in the theory of integrable systems, we say:

the Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k$$

is integrable by the Inverse Scattering Transform, because this system has the Lax representation

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k,$$

Lax Representation

According to a standard approach in the theory of integrable systems, we say:

the Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k$$

is integrable by the Inverse Scattering Transform, because this system has the Lax representation

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k,$$

or the adjoint Lax representation

$$\partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Lax Representation

According to a standard approach in the theory of integrable systems, we say:

the Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k$$

is integrable by the Inverse Scattering Transform, because this system has the Lax representation

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k,$$

or the adjoint Lax representation

$$\partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

The Lamé system is a 3D integrable system.

Lax Representation

According to a standard approach in the theory of integrable systems, we say:

the Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k$$

is integrable by the Inverse Scattering Transform, because this system has the Lax representation

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k,$$

or the adjoint Lax representation

$$\partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

The Lamé system is a 3D integrable system. Indeed, it is easy to see for every three distinct indices:

$$\partial_{r^1} \beta_{23} = \beta_{21} \beta_{13}, \quad \partial_{r^1} \beta_{32} = \beta_{31} \beta_{12},$$

$$\partial_{r^2} \beta_{13} = \beta_{12} \beta_{23}, \quad \partial_{r^2} \beta_{31} = \beta_{32} \beta_{21},$$

$$\partial_{r^3} \beta_{12} = \beta_{13} \beta_{32}, \quad \partial_{r^3} \beta_{21} = \beta_{23} \beta_{31}.$$

Conjugate Egorov Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k,$$

is determined by two alternative Lax representations

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Conjugate Egorov Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k,$$

is determined by two alternative Lax representations

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Now we consider the 3D symmetric reduction

$$\beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}, \quad i \neq k.$$

Conjugate Egorov Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k,$$

is determined by two alternative Lax representations

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Now we consider the 3D symmetric reduction

$$\beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}, \quad i \neq k.$$

In this case, both Lax representations coincide with each other (i.e. $\psi_i \equiv H_i$). This means, symmetric rotation coefficients β_{ik} of conjugate Egorov curvilinear nets satisfy the Lamé–Egorov system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k; \quad \beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}, \quad i \neq k.$$

Again in the three dimensional case we have only three equations (instead of six equations without the symmetric reduction)

$$\partial_{r^1} \beta_{23} = \beta_{21} \beta_{13}, \quad \partial_{r^2} \beta_{13} = \beta_{12} \beta_{23}, \quad \partial_{r^3} \beta_{12} = \beta_{13} \beta_{32}.$$

Conjugate Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k,$$

is determined by two alternative Lax representations

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Conjugate Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k,$$

is determined by two alternative Lax representations

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Now we consider the reduction

$$\partial_i \beta_{kk} = \beta_{ik} \beta_{ki}, \quad \partial_k \ln \beta_{ik} = \beta_{kk}, \quad i \neq k.$$

In this case the Lamé system is the so called *Darboux solvable*. All rotation coefficients $\beta_{ik}(\mathbf{r})$ can be found explicitly. This case is known in the theory of *semi-Hamiltonian* hydrodynamic type systems as *linearly degenerate*. See: E.V. Ferapontov (1991).

Conjugate Temple Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k,$$

is determined by two alternative Lax representations

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Conjugate Temple Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k,$$

is determined by two alternative Lax representations

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Now we consider the second reduction

$$\partial_i \beta_{kk} = \beta_{ik} \beta_{ki}, \quad \partial_k \ln \beta_{ki} = \beta_{kk}, \quad i \neq k.$$

Conjugate Temple Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k,$$

is determined by two alternative Lax representations

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Now we consider the second reduction

$$\partial_i \beta_{kk} = \beta_{ik} \beta_{ki}, \quad \partial_k \ln \beta_{ki} = \beta_{kk}, \quad i \neq k.$$

Obviously, in this case the Lamé system is *Darboux solvable* as well as in the linearly degenerate case selected by the first reduction

$$\partial_i \beta_{kk} = \beta_{ik} \beta_{ki}, \quad \partial_k \ln \beta_{ik} = \beta_{kk}, \quad i \neq k.$$

This case is known in the theory of *semi-Hamiltonian* hydrodynamic type systems as hydrodynamic type systems of *Temple's class*.

Conjugate Egorov Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé–Egorov system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k; \quad \beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}, \quad i \neq k$$

is determined by the Lax representation

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Conjugate Egorov Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé–Egorov system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k; \quad \beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}, \quad i \neq k$$

is determined by the Lax representation

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Now we consider the first reduction (linearly degeneracy)

$$\partial_i \beta_{kk} = \beta_{ik} \beta_{ki}, \quad \partial_k \ln \beta_{ik} = \beta_{kk}, \quad i \neq k.$$

Conjugate Egorov Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé–Egorov system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k; \quad \beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}, \quad i \neq k$$

is determined by the Lax representation

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Now we consider the first reduction (linearly degeneracy)

$$\partial_i \beta_{kk} = \beta_{ik} \beta_{ki}, \quad \partial_k \ln \beta_{ik} = \beta_{kk}, \quad i \neq k.$$

This particular class of conjugate curvilinear coordinate nets is not yet described.

The Lamé–Egorov system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k; \quad \beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}, \quad i \neq k.$$

is determined by the Lax representation

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

The Lamé–Egorov system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k; \quad \beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}, \quad i \neq k.$$

is determined by the Lax representation

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Now we consider the second reduction (Temple's class)

$$\partial_i \beta_{kk} = \beta_{ik} \beta_{ki}, \quad \partial_k \ln \beta_{ki} = \beta_{kk}, \quad i \neq k.$$

The Lamé–Egorov system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k; \quad \beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}, \quad i \neq k.$$

is determined by the Lax representation

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Now we consider the second reduction (Temple's class)

$$\partial_i \beta_{kk} = \beta_{ik} \beta_{ki}, \quad \partial_k \ln \beta_{ki} = \beta_{kk}, \quad i \neq k.$$

This particular class of conjugate curvilinear coordinate nets is not yet described.

Conjugate Temple Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k,$$

is determined by two alternative Lax representations

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Conjugate Temple Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k,$$

is determined by two alternative Lax representations

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Now we consider both reductions simultaneously

$$\partial_i \beta_{kk} = \beta_{ik} \beta_{ki}, \quad \partial_k \ln \beta_{ik} = \beta_{kk}, \quad \partial_k \ln \beta_{ki} = \beta_{kk}, \quad i \neq k.$$

Conjugate Temple Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k,$$

is determined by two alternative Lax representations

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Now we consider both reductions simultaneously

$$\partial_i \beta_{kk} = \beta_{ik} \beta_{ki}, \quad \partial_k \ln \beta_{ik} = \beta_{kk}, \quad \partial_k \ln \beta_{ki} = \beta_{kk}, \quad i \neq k.$$

Then all above nonlinear equations can be written in the compact form
(no restrictions on coincided indices!)

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}.$$

Conjugate Temple Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k,$$

is determined by two alternative Lax representations

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Now we consider both reductions simultaneously

$$\partial_i \beta_{kk} = \beta_{ik} \beta_{ki}, \quad \partial_k \ln \beta_{ik} = \beta_{kk}, \quad \partial_k \ln \beta_{ki} = \beta_{kk}, \quad i \neq k.$$

Then all above nonlinear equations can be written in the compact form (no restrictions on coincided indices!)

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}.$$

This particular class of conjugate curvilinear coordinate nets is described below.

A Complete Description of Conjugate Temple Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Let us introduce the $N \times N$ matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = \text{const}$, $k \neq i$.

A Complete Description of Conjugate Temple Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Let us introduce the $N \times N$ matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = \text{const}$, $k \neq i$.

Define another matrix $\hat{\beta} = -\hat{\epsilon}^{-1}$.

A Complete Description of Conjugate Temple Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Let us introduce the $N \times N$ matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = \text{const}$, $k \neq i$.

Define another matrix $\hat{\beta} = -\hat{\epsilon}^{-1}$.

Then one can see that the coefficients β_{ik} of this matrix $\hat{\beta}$ satisfy the nonlinear system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}.$$

A Complete Description of Conjugate Temple Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Let us introduce the $N \times N$ matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = \text{const}$, $k \neq i$.

Define another matrix $\hat{\beta} = -\hat{\epsilon}^{-1}$.

Then one can see that the coefficients β_{ik} of this matrix $\hat{\beta}$ satisfy the nonlinear system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}.$$

Indeed, differentiation of $\beta_{km} \epsilon^{mi} = -\delta_k^i$ with respect to any variable r^j implies

$$0 = \beta_{im} \partial_j \epsilon^{mk} + (\partial_j \beta_{im}) \epsilon^{mk}.$$

A Complete Description of Conjugate Temple Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Let us introduce the $N \times N$ matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = \text{const}$, $k \neq i$.

Define another matrix $\hat{\beta} = -\hat{\epsilon}^{-1}$.

Then one can see that the coefficients β_{ik} of this matrix $\hat{\beta}$ satisfy the nonlinear system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}.$$

Indeed, differentiation of $\beta_{km} \epsilon^{mi} = -\delta_k^i$ with respect to any variable r^j implies

$$0 = \beta_{im} \partial_j \epsilon^{mk} + (\partial_j \beta_{im}) \epsilon^{mk}.$$

Multiplying by the matrix $\hat{\beta}$, one can obtain

$$0 = \beta_{im} (\partial_j \epsilon^{mk}) \beta_{ks} + (\partial_j \beta_{im}) \epsilon^{mk} \beta_{ks}.$$

A Complete Description of Conjugate Temple Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Let us introduce the $N \times N$ matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = \text{const}$, $k \neq i$.

Define another matrix $\hat{\beta} = -\hat{\epsilon}^{-1}$.

Then one can see that the coefficients β_{ik} of this matrix $\hat{\beta}$ satisfy the nonlinear system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}.$$

Indeed, differentiation of $\beta_{km} \epsilon^{mi} = -\delta_k^i$ with respect to any variable r^j implies

$$0 = \beta_{im} \partial_j \epsilon^{mk} + (\partial_j \beta_{im}) \epsilon^{mk}.$$

Multiplying by the matrix $\hat{\beta}$, one can obtain

$$0 = \beta_{im} (\partial_j \epsilon^{mk}) \beta_{ks} + (\partial_j \beta_{im}) \epsilon^{mk} \beta_{ks}.$$

Taking into account $\beta_{km} \epsilon^{mi} = -\delta_k^i$, finally we have

$$\partial_j \beta_{is} = \beta_{im} (\partial_j \epsilon^{mk}) \beta_{ks} \equiv \beta_{ij} \beta_{js}.$$

A Complete Description of Conjugate Temple Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Vice Versa: Assume that the coefficients β_{ik} of the $N \times N$ matrix $\hat{\beta}$ satisfy the nonlinear system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}.$$

A Complete Description of Conjugate Temple Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Vice Versa: Assume that the coefficients β_{ik} of the $N \times N$ matrix $\hat{\beta}$ satisfy the nonlinear system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}.$$

Define another matrix $\hat{\epsilon} = -\hat{\beta}^{-1}$.

A Complete Description of Conjugate Temple Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Vice Versa: Assume that the coefficients β_{ik} of the $N \times N$ matrix $\hat{\beta}$ satisfy the nonlinear system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}.$$

Define another matrix $\hat{\epsilon} = -\hat{\beta}^{-1}$.

Multiplying both sides of the above nonlinear system $\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}$ by ϵ^{pj} from the left, and by ϵ^{kq} from the right, we obtain

$$\epsilon^{pj} (\partial_i \beta_{jk}) \epsilon^{kq} = (\epsilon^{pj} \beta_{ji}) (\beta_{ik} \epsilon^{kq}) = \delta_i^p \delta_i^q.$$

A Complete Description of Conjugate Temple Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Vice Versa: Assume that the coefficients β_{ik} of the $N \times N$ matrix $\hat{\beta}$ satisfy the nonlinear system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}.$$

Define another matrix $\hat{\epsilon} = -\hat{\beta}^{-1}$.

Multiplying both sides of the above nonlinear system $\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}$ by ϵ^{pj} from the left, and by ϵ^{kq} from the right, we obtain

$$\epsilon^{pj} (\partial_i \beta_{jk}) \epsilon^{kq} = (\epsilon^{pj} \beta_{ji}) (\beta_{ik} \epsilon^{kq}) = \delta_i^p \delta_i^q.$$

Again, taking into account $\beta_{km} \epsilon^{mi} = -\delta_k^i$, the l.h.s. of the above expression becomes

$$\epsilon^{pj} (\partial_i \beta_{jk}) \epsilon^{kq} = \epsilon^{pj} [\partial_i (\beta_{jk} \epsilon^{kq}) - \beta_{jk} \partial_i \epsilon^{kq}] = [\partial_i (\epsilon^{pj} \beta_{jk}) - (\partial_i \epsilon^{pj}) \beta_{jk}] \epsilon^{kq} = \partial_i \epsilon^{pq}$$

A Complete Description of Conjugate Temple Linearly Degenerate Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Vice Versa: Assume that the coefficients β_{ik} of the $N \times N$ matrix $\hat{\beta}$ satisfy the nonlinear system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}.$$

Define another matrix $\hat{\epsilon} = -\hat{\beta}^{-1}$.

Multiplying both sides of the above nonlinear system $\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}$ by ϵ^{pj} from the left, and by ϵ^{kq} from the right, we obtain

$$\epsilon^{pj} (\partial_i \beta_{jk}) \epsilon^{kq} = (\epsilon^{pj} \beta_{ji}) (\beta_{ik} \epsilon^{kq}) = \delta_i^p \delta_i^q.$$

Again, taking into account $\beta_{km} \epsilon^{mi} = -\delta_k^i$, the l.h.s. of the above expression becomes

$$\epsilon^{pj} (\partial_i \beta_{jk}) \epsilon^{kq} = \epsilon^{pj} [\partial_i (\beta_{jk} \epsilon^{kq}) - \beta_{jk} \partial_i \epsilon^{kq}] = [\partial_i (\epsilon^{pj} \beta_{jk}) - (\partial_i \epsilon^{pj}) \beta_{jk}] \epsilon^{kq} = \partial_i \epsilon^{pq}$$

This means: the general solution of the system $\partial_i \epsilon^{pq} = \delta_i^p \delta_i^q$ is determined by the $N \times N$ matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = \text{const}$, $k \neq i$.

Orthogonal Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k,$$

is determined by two alternative Lax representations

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Orthogonal Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k,$$

is determined by two alternative Lax representations

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Now we consider the 3D reduction (here $\psi_{i,i} \equiv \partial_i \psi_i$)

$$H_i = \psi_{i,i} + \sum_{m \neq i} \beta_{mi} \psi_m.$$

The above Lax representations are connected to each other via this differential substitution of first order, if rotation coefficients β_{ik} satisfy to extra set of nonlinear equations (the Gauss system)

$$\partial_i \beta_{ik} + \partial_k \beta_{ki} + \sum_{m \neq i, k} \beta_{mi} \beta_{mk} = 0, \quad i \neq k.$$

Thus, the Darboux–Lamé system is

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k; \quad \partial_i \beta_{ik} + \partial_k \beta_{ki} + \sum_{m \neq i, k} \beta_{mi} \beta_{mk} = 0, \quad i \neq k.$$

Egorov Orthogonal Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Darboux–Lame system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k; \quad \partial_i \beta_{ik} + \partial_k \beta_{ki} + \sum_{m \neq i, k} \beta_{mi} \beta_{mk} = 0, \quad i \neq k,$$

is determined by the Lax representation (here $\psi_{i,i} \equiv \partial_i \psi_i$)

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k; \quad H_i = \psi_{i,i} + \sum_{m \neq i} \beta_{mi} \psi_m.$$

Egorov Orthogonal Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Darboux–Lame system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k; \quad \partial_i \beta_{ik} + \partial_k \beta_{ki} + \sum_{m \neq i, k} \beta_{mi} \beta_{mk} = 0, \quad i \neq k,$$

is determined by the Lax representation (here $\psi_{i,i} \equiv \partial_i \psi_i$)

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k; \quad H_i = \psi_{i,i} + \sum_{m \neq i} \beta_{mi} \psi_m.$$

Now we consider the 3D symmetric reduction $\beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}$.

Egorov Orthogonal Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

The Darboux–Lame system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k; \quad \partial_i \beta_{ik} + \partial_k \beta_{ki} + \sum_{m \neq i, k} \beta_{mi} \beta_{mk} = 0, \quad i \neq k,$$

is determined by the Lax representation (here $\psi_{i,i} \equiv \partial_i \psi_i$)

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad \partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k; \quad H_i = \psi_{i,i} + \sum_{m \neq i} \beta_{mi} \psi_m.$$

Now we consider the 3D symmetric reduction $\beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}$.

Then the Gauss system

$$\partial_i \beta_{ik} + \partial_k \beta_{ki} + \sum_{m \neq i, k} \beta_{mi} \beta_{mk} = 0, \quad i \neq k,$$

reduces to the form $\delta \beta_{ik} = 0$, while the differential substitution

$$H_i = \psi_{i,i} + \sum_{m \neq i} \beta_{mi} \psi_m$$

becomes $H_i = \delta \psi_i$, where $\delta = \Sigma \partial / \partial r^m$ is a shift symmetry operator.

The Darboux–Lame–Egorov system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k; \quad \delta \beta_{ik} = 0,$$

is determined by the Lax representation (here $\psi_{i,i} \equiv \partial_i \psi_i$)

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k; \quad \lambda H_i = \delta H_i,$$

where λ is a spectral parameter.

The Darboux–Lame–Egorov system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k; \quad \delta \beta_{ik} = 0,$$

is determined by the Lax representation (here $\psi_{i,i} \equiv \partial_i \psi_i$)

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k; \quad \lambda H_i = \delta H_i,$$

where λ is a spectral parameter. This is 2D integrable system, obtained on the intersection of two 3D reductions (Egorov case + Orthogonal case).

The Darboux–Lame–Egorov system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k; \quad \delta \beta_{ik} = 0,$$

is determined by the Lax representation (here $\psi_{i,i} \equiv \partial_i \psi_i$)

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k; \quad \lambda H_i = \delta H_i,$$

where λ is a spectral parameter. This is 2D integrable system, obtained on the intersection of two 3D reductions (Egorov case + Orthogonal case).

The concept of a Frobenius manifold appears in extension of the Darboux–Lame–Egorov system:

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k; \quad \delta \beta_{ik} = 0, \quad \hat{R} \beta_{ik} = -\beta_{ik},$$

where $\hat{R} = \Sigma r^m \partial / \partial r^m$ is the Euler (scaling) symmetry operator.

A Simplest Nontrivial Example of Egorov Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Let us introduce the $N \times N$ symmetric matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = \epsilon^{ki} = \text{const}$, $k \neq i$.

A Simplest Nontrivial Example of Egorov Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Let us introduce the $N \times N$ symmetric matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = \epsilon^{ki} = \text{const}$, $k \neq i$. Define another symmetric matrix $\hat{\beta} = -\hat{\epsilon}^{-1}$.

A Simplest Nontrivial Example of Egorov Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Let us introduce the $N \times N$ symmetric matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = \epsilon^{ki} = \text{const}$, $k \neq i$. Define another symmetric matrix $\hat{\beta} = -\hat{\epsilon}^{-1}$. Then the symmetric coefficients β_{ik} of this matrix $\hat{\beta}$ satisfy the nonlinear system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad \beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}.$$

A Simplest Nontrivial Example of Egorov Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Let us introduce the $N \times N$ symmetric matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = \epsilon^{ki} = \text{const}$, $k \neq i$. Define another symmetric matrix $\hat{\beta} = -\hat{\epsilon}^{-1}$. Then the symmetric coefficients β_{ik} of this matrix $\hat{\beta}$ satisfy the nonlinear system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad \beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}.$$

This system belongs to Temple's class and to a linearly degenerate type, simultaneously.

A Simplest Nontrivial Example of Egorov Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Let us introduce the $N \times N$ symmetric matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = \epsilon^{ki} = \text{const}$, $k \neq i$. Define another symmetric matrix $\hat{\beta} = -\hat{\epsilon}^{-1}$. Then the symmetric coefficients β_{ik} of this matrix $\hat{\beta}$ satisfy the nonlinear system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad \beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}.$$

This system belongs to Temple's class and to a linearly degenerate type, simultaneously.

This problem was investigated by B.A. Dubrovin, S.A. Zykov and MVP (2011).

A Simplest Nontrivial Example of Egorov Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Let us introduce the $N \times N$ symmetric matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = \epsilon^{ki} = \text{const}$, $k \neq i$. Define another symmetric matrix $\hat{\beta} = -\hat{\epsilon}^{-1}$. Then the symmetric coefficients β_{ik} of this matrix $\hat{\beta}$ satisfy the nonlinear system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad \beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}.$$

This system belongs to Temple's class and to a linearly degenerate type, simultaneously.

This problem was investigated by B.A. Dubrovin, S.A. Zykov and MVP (2011). This research is incomplete!

A Simplest Nontrivial Example of Egorov Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Let us introduce the $N \times N$ symmetric matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = \epsilon^{ki} = \text{const}$, $k \neq i$. Define another symmetric matrix $\hat{\beta} = -\hat{\epsilon}^{-1}$. Then the symmetric coefficients β_{ik} of this matrix $\hat{\beta}$ satisfy the nonlinear system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad \beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}.$$

This system belongs to Temple's class and to a linearly degenerate type, simultaneously.

This problem was investigated by B.A. Dubrovin, S.A. Zykov and MVP (2011). This research is incomplete! This means: constant coefficients ϵ^{ik} are not completely described for the orthogonal case $\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}$, $\beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}$, $\delta \beta_{ik} = 0$.

A Simplest Nontrivial Example of Egorov Curvilinear Coordinate Nets

Let us introduce the $N \times N$ symmetric matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = \epsilon^{ki} = \text{const}$, $k \neq i$. Define another symmetric matrix $\hat{\beta} = -\hat{\epsilon}^{-1}$. Then the symmetric coefficients β_{ik} of this matrix $\hat{\beta}$ satisfy the nonlinear system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad \beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}.$$

This system belongs to Temple's class and to a linearly degenerate type, simultaneously.

This problem was investigated by B.A. Dubrovin, S.A. Zykov and MVP (2011). This research is incomplete! This means: constant coefficients ϵ^{ik} are not completely described for the orthogonal case $\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}$, $\beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}$, $\delta \beta_{ik} = 0$. This means: constant coefficients ϵ^{ik} are not completely described for the case of Frobenius manifolds $\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}$, $\beta_{ik} = \beta_{ki}$, $\delta \beta_{ik} = 0$, $\hat{R} \beta_{ik} = -\beta_{ik}$.

Lax Representation

According to standard approach in the theory of integrable systems, we say:

Lax Representation

According to standard approach in the theory of integrable systems, we say:

the Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k$$

is integrable by the Inverse Scattering Transform

Lax Representation

According to standard approach in the theory of integrable systems, we say:

the Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k$$

is integrable by the Inverse Scattering Transform, because this system has the Lax representation

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k,$$

Lax Representation

According to standard approach in the theory of integrable systems, we say:

the Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k$$

is integrable by the Inverse Scattering Transform, because this system has the Lax representation

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k,$$

or the adjoint Lax representation

$$\partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Lax Representation

According to standard approach in the theory of integrable systems, we say:

the Lamé system

$$\partial_i \beta_{jk} = \beta_{ji} \beta_{ik}, \quad i \neq j \neq k$$

is integrable by the Inverse Scattering Transform, because this system has the Lax representation

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k,$$

or the adjoint Lax representation

$$\partial_i \psi_k = \beta_{ki} \psi_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

We remind that the Lamé system is a 3D integrable system.

Alternative Approach. Exceptional Lax Representations

However, we can follow to an alternative strategy.

Alternative Approach. Exceptional Lax Representations

However, we can follow to an alternative strategy.

One can select any pair of particular solutions \bar{H}_i and \tilde{H}_i of the first linear system

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Here we remind that independent variables are r^k . So, $\partial_k \equiv \partial/\partial r^k$.

Alternative Approach. Exceptional Lax Representations

However, we can follow to an alternative strategy.

One can select any pair of particular solutions \bar{H}_i and \tilde{H}_i of the first linear system

$$\partial_i H_k = \beta_{ik} H_i, \quad i \neq k.$$

Here we remind that independent variables are r^k . So, $\partial_k \equiv \partial/\partial r^k$.

Now we introduce an N component hydrodynamic type system

$$r_t^i = \mu^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i,$$

whose characteristic velocities

$$\mu^i(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\tilde{H}_i}{\bar{H}_i}.$$

This hydrodynamic type system is integrable by Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method. In this construction: Riemann invariants r^k are functions of two independent variables x and t only.

Commuting Flows

Integrable N component hydrodynamic type system

$$r_t^i = \mu^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i$$

has infinitely many commuting flows (τ is the so called group parameter in the Lie group analysis, or an auxiliary time variable)

$$r_\tau^i = \zeta^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i.$$

This means, that the Riemann invariants r^i no longer depend on **two** independent variables x and t only. Now, the Riemann invariants r^i depend on three independent variables x, t, τ simultaneously.

Commuting Flows

Integrable N component hydrodynamic type system

$$r_t^i = \mu^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i$$

has infinitely many commuting flows (τ is the so called group parameter in the Lie group analysis, or an auxiliary time variable)

$$r_\tau^i = \zeta^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i.$$

This means, that the Riemann invariants r^i no longer depend on **two** independent variables x and t only. Now, the Riemann invariants r^i depend on three independent variables x, t, τ simultaneously.

This means, that the Riemann invariants $r^i(x, t, \tau)$ solve two N component hydrodynamic type systems

$$r_t^i = \mu^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i, \quad r_\tau^i = \zeta^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i,$$

where the time variable τ is hidden in the first hydrodynamic type system, while the time variable t is hidden in the second hydrodynamic type system. Then both hydrodynamic type systems must commute with each other.

Commuting Flows

The compatibility conditions $(r_t^i)_\tau = (r_\tau^i)_t$ lead to the Tsarev conditions

$$\frac{\partial_k \mu^i}{\mu^k - \mu^i} = \frac{\partial_k \zeta^i}{\zeta^k - \zeta^i}, \quad i \neq k.$$

Taking into account the definition of the Lamé coefficients

$$\partial_k \ln \bar{H}_i = \frac{\partial_k \mu^i}{\mu^k - \mu^i}, \quad i \neq k,$$

the Tsarev conditions show that both commuting hydrodynamic type systems

$$r_t^i = \mu^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i, \quad r_\tau^i = \zeta^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i$$

have the same diagonal metric $g_{kk}(\mathbf{r}) = \bar{H}_k^2$.

Commuting Flows

The compatibility conditions $(r_t^i)_\tau = (r_\tau^i)_t$ lead to the Tsarev conditions

$$\frac{\partial_k \mu^i}{\mu^k - \mu^i} = \frac{\partial_k \zeta^i}{\zeta^k - \zeta^i}, \quad i \neq k.$$

Taking into account the definition of the Lamé coefficients

$$\partial_k \ln \bar{H}_i = \frac{\partial_k \mu^i}{\mu^k - \mu^i}, \quad i \neq k,$$

the Tsarev conditions show that both commuting hydrodynamic type systems

$$r_t^i = \mu^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i, \quad r_\tau^i = \zeta^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i$$

have the same diagonal metric $g_{kk}(\mathbf{r}) = \bar{H}_k^2$.

Integrability of Diagonalisable Hydrodynamic Type Systems

Any diagonalisable hydrodynamic type system

$$r_t^i = \mu^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

is integrable by Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method

$$x + \mu^i(\mathbf{r})t = \zeta^i(\mathbf{r}),$$

if and only if the integrability condition (here $\partial_k \equiv \partial/\partial r^k$)

$$\partial_j \frac{\partial_k \mu^i}{\mu^k - \mu^i} = \partial_k \frac{\partial_j \mu^i}{\mu^j - \mu^i}, \quad i \neq j \neq k$$

is fulfilled. Here we remind that diagonal metric coefficients $g_{kk}(\mathbf{r}) = \bar{H}_k^2$ are determined by

$$\partial_k \ln \bar{H}_i = \frac{\partial_k \mu^i}{\mu^k - \mu^i}, \quad i \neq k,$$

while $\zeta^i(\mathbf{r})$ satisfy to the linear system

$$\partial_k \zeta^i = \frac{\partial_k \mu^i}{\mu^k - \mu^i} (\zeta^k - \zeta^i), \quad i \neq k.$$

El's Nonlocal Kinetic Equation

El's integro-differential kinetic equation for dense soliton gas (2003)

$$f_t + (sf)_x = 0,$$

$$s(\eta) = S(\eta) + \int_0^\infty G(\mu, \eta) f(\mu) [s(\mu) - s(\eta)] d\mu,$$

where $f(\eta) = f(\eta, x, t)$ is a distribution function and $s(\eta) = s(\eta, x, t)$ is the associated transport velocity. Here the variable η is the spectral parameter in the Lax pair; the function $S(\eta)$ (free soliton velocity) and the kernel $G(\mu, \eta)$ (phase shift due to pairwise soliton collisions) are independent of x and t . The kernel $G(\mu, \eta)$ is assumed to be symmetric: $G(\mu, \eta) = G(\eta, \mu)$. This system describes the evolution of a dense soliton gas and represents a broad generalisation of Zakharov's kinetic equation for rarefied soliton gas. In this case

$$S(\eta) = 4\eta^2, \quad G(\mu, \eta) = \frac{1}{\eta\mu} \log \left| \frac{\eta - \mu}{\eta + \mu} \right|,$$

the above system was derived by G. El as thermodynamic limit of the KdV Whitham equations

El's Nonlocal Kinetic Equation. Zakharov Approximation

El's integro-differential kinetic equation for dense soliton gas (2003)

$$f_t + (sf)_x = 0,$$

$$s(\eta) = S(\eta) + \int_0^\infty G(\mu, \eta) f(\mu) [s(\mu) - s(\eta)] d\mu.$$

Taking into account the dependence $S(\eta) = 4\eta^2$, the integral equation

$$s(\eta) = 4\eta^2 + \int_0^\infty G(\mu, \eta) f(\mu) [s(\mu) - s(\eta)] d\mu$$

in the zero-order approximation is $s(\eta) = 4\eta^2$ only. This means, in the first-order approximation, one can obtain

$$s(\eta) = 4\eta^2 + \int_0^\infty G(\mu, \eta) f(\mu) (4\mu^2 - 4\eta^2) d\mu.$$

It was exactly equation derived by V.E. Zakharov for rarefied gas in 1971.

Hydrodynamic Reductions. Dirac Delta-Functional Ansatz

Under a delta-functional ansatz (an **iso-spectral** case, 2010, G.A. El, A.M. Kamchatnov, MVP, S.A. Zykov),

$$f(\eta, x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n u^i(x, t) \delta(\eta - \eta^i),$$

Hydrodynamic Reductions. Dirac Delta-Functional Ansatz

Under a delta-functional ansatz (an **iso-spectral** case, 2010, G.A. El, A.M. Kamchatnov, MVP, S.A. Zykov),

$$f(\eta, x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n u^i(x, t) \delta(\eta - \eta^i),$$

system

$$f_t + (sf)_x = 0,$$

$$s(\eta) = S(\eta) + \int_0^\infty G(\mu, \eta) f(\mu) [s(\mu) - s(\eta)] d\mu,$$

Hydrodynamic Reductions. Dirac Delta-Functional Ansatz

Under a delta-functional ansatz (an **iso-spectral** case, 2010, G.A. El, A.M. Kamchatnov, MVP, S.A. Zykov),

$$f(\eta, x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n u^i(x, t) \delta(\eta - \eta^i),$$

system

$$f_t + (sf)_x = 0,$$

$$s(\eta) = S(\eta) + \int_0^\infty G(\mu, \eta) f(\mu) [s(\mu) - s(\eta)] d\mu,$$

reduces to a $n \times n$ quasilinear system for $u^i(x, t)$,

$$u_t^i = (u^i v^i)_x,$$

Hydrodynamic Reductions. Dirac Delta-Functional Ansatz

Under a delta-functional ansatz (an **iso-spectral** case, 2010, G.A. El, A.M. Kamchatnov, MVP, S.A. Zykov),

$$f(\eta, x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n u^i(x, t) \delta(\eta - \eta^i),$$

system

$$f_t + (sf)_x = 0,$$

$$s(\eta) = S(\eta) + \int_0^\infty G(\mu, \eta) f(\mu) [s(\mu) - s(\eta)] d\mu,$$

reduces to a $n \times n$ quasilinear system for $u^i(x, t)$,

$$u_t^i = (u^i v^i)_x,$$

where v^i can be recovered from the linear system (here $\tilde{\zeta}^i = -S(\eta^i)$)

$$v^j = \tilde{\zeta}^j + \sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon^{mi} u^m (v^m - v^i), \quad \epsilon^{ki} = G(\eta^k, \eta^i), \quad k \neq i.$$

Parametrisation

Now we introduce the new field variables r^i by the formula

$$r^i = -\frac{1}{u^i} \left(1 + \sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon^{mi} u^m \right).$$

Parametrisation

Now we introduce the new field variables r^i by the formula

$$r^i = -\frac{1}{u^i} \left(1 + \sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon^{mi} u^m \right).$$

In these dependent variables r^i , the quasilinear system

$$u_t^i = (u^i v^i)_x,$$

reduces to a diagonal form

$$r_t^i = v^i r_x^i,$$

where velocities v^i can be expressed in terms of Riemann invariants as follows. Let us introduce the $N \times N$ matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = G(\eta^i, \eta^k)$, $k \neq i$.

Parametrisation

Now we introduce the new field variables r^i by the formula

$$r^i = -\frac{1}{u^i} \left(1 + \sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon^{mi} u^m \right).$$

In these dependent variables r^i , the quasilinear system

$$u_t^i = (u^i v^i)_x,$$

reduces to a diagonal form

$$r_t^i = v^i r_x^i,$$

where velocities v^i can be expressed in terms of Riemann invariants as follows. Let us introduce the $N \times N$ matrix $\hat{\epsilon}$ with diagonal entries r^1, \dots, r^N (so that $\epsilon^{ii} = r^i$) and off-diagonal entries $\epsilon^{ik} = G(\eta^i, \eta^k)$, $k \neq i$.

Define another symmetric matrix $\hat{\beta} = -\hat{\epsilon}^{-1}$.

Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method

Denote β_{ik} the matrix elements of the matrix $\hat{\beta}$ (indices i and k are allowed to coincide). Then we obtain the following formulae for u^i, v^i :

$$u^i = \sum_{m=1}^N \beta_{mi}, \quad v^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \sum_{m=1}^N \zeta^m \beta_{mi}.$$

Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method

Denote β_{ik} the matrix elements of the matrix $\hat{\beta}$ (indices i and k are allowed to coincide). Then we obtain the following formulae for u^i, v^i :

$$u^i = \sum_{m=1}^N \beta_{mi}, \quad v^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \sum_{m=1}^N \zeta^m \beta_{mi}.$$

Then the general solution of the diagonal system

$$r_t^i = v^i r_x^i,$$

is determined by

Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method

Denote β_{ik} the matrix elements of the matrix $\hat{\beta}$ (indices i and k are allowed to coincide). Then we obtain the following formulae for u^i, v^i :

$$u^i = \sum_{m=1}^N \beta_{mi}, \quad v^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \sum_{m=1}^N \xi^m \beta_{mi}.$$

Then the general solution of the diagonal system

$$r_t^i = v^i r_x^i,$$

is determined by

$$x + \xi_i t = P_i(r^i) - r^i P_i'(r^i) - \sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon^{mi} P_m'(r^m), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where $P_i(r^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, are arbitrary functions.

Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method

Under the re-parametrization

$$P_k''(\xi) = -\frac{\phi_k(\xi)}{f(\xi)}$$

the generalized hodograph solution

$$x + \xi_i t = P_i(r^i) - r^i P_i'(r^i) - \sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon^{mi} P_m'(r^m), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

becomes

$$x + \xi_i t = \int_{r^i}^{\xi} \frac{\xi \phi_i(\xi)}{f(\xi)} d\xi + \sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon^{mi} \int_{r^m}^{\xi} \frac{\phi_m(\xi)}{f(\xi)} d\xi.$$

Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method

Now we consider the particular choice of $f(\xi)$ defined as $f(\xi) = \sqrt{R_K(\xi)}$, where

$$R_K(\xi) = \prod_{m=1}^K (\xi - E_m),$$

and $E_1 < E_2 < \dots < E_K$ are real constants ($K = 2N + 1$ and $K = 2N + 2$ for odd and even number of branch points of this hyperelliptic curve of a genus N); and $\phi_k(\xi)$ being arbitrary polynomials in ξ of degrees less than N .

Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method

Now we consider the particular choice of $f(\zeta)$ defined as $f(\zeta) = \sqrt{R_K(\zeta)}$, where

$$R_K(\zeta) = \prod_{m=1}^K (\zeta - E_m),$$

and $E_1 < E_2 < \dots < E_K$ are real constants ($K = 2N + 1$ and $K = 2N + 2$ for odd and even number of branch points of this hyperelliptic curve of a genus N); and $\phi_k(\zeta)$ being arbitrary polynomials in ζ of degrees less than N .

Then the generalized hodograph solution

$$x + \zeta_i t = \int^{r^i} \frac{\zeta \phi_i(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)} d\zeta + \sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon^{mi} \int^{r^m} \frac{\phi_m(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)} d\zeta,$$

describes quasiperiodic solutions of the form

$$x + \zeta_i t = \int^{r^i} \frac{\zeta \phi_i(\zeta) d\zeta}{\sqrt{R_K(\zeta)}} + \sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon^{mi} \int^{r^m} \frac{\phi_m(\zeta) d\zeta}{\sqrt{R_K(\zeta)}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

A Nijenhuis tensor

Recall that, given an affiner V_k^i , its Haantjes tensor is defined by the formula

$$H_{jk}^i = N_{pr}^i V_j^p V_k^r - N_{jr}^p V_p^i V_k^r - N_{rk}^p V_p^i V_j^r + N_{jk}^p V_r^i V_p^r,$$

where

$$N_{jk}^i = V_j^p \partial_p V_k^i - V_k^p \partial_p V_j^i - V_p^i (\partial_j V_k^p - \partial_k V_j^p)$$

is a Nijenhuis tensor.

A Nijenhuis tensor

Recall that, given an affiner V_k^i , its Haantjes tensor is defined by the formula

$$H_{jk}^i = N_{pr}^i V_j^p V_k^r - N_{jr}^p V_p^i V_k^r - N_{rk}^p V_p^i V_j^r + N_{jk}^p V_r^i V_p^r,$$

where

$$N_{jk}^i = V_j^p \partial_p V_k^i - V_k^p \partial_p V_j^i - V_p^i (\partial_j V_k^p - \partial_k V_j^p)$$

is a Nijenhuis tensor.

In a generic case all characteristic velocities μ^k are *pairwise distinct*. If all components of a Nijenhuis tensor vanish, then corresponding hydrodynamic type system

$$u_t^i = V_k^i(\mathbf{u}) u_x^k$$

can be reduced to the totally decoupled form

$$\tilde{u}_t^i = \mu^i(\tilde{u}^i) \tilde{u}_x^i$$

by an appropriate invertible point transformation $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}^k(\mathbf{u})$.

A Haantjes tensor

So a Haantjes tensor is defined by the formula

$$H_{jk}^i = N_{pr}^i V_j^p V_k^r - N_{jr}^p V_p^i V_k^r - N_{rk}^p V_p^i V_j^r + N_{jk}^p V_r^i V_p^r,$$

while a Nijenhuis tensor is

$$N_{jk}^i = V_j^p \partial_p V_k^i - V_k^p \partial_p V_j^i - V_p^i (\partial_j V_k^p - \partial_k V_j^p).$$

A Haantjes tensor

So a Haantjes tensor is defined by the formula

$$H_{jk}^i = N_{pr}^i V_j^p V_k^r - N_{jr}^p V_p^i V_k^r - N_{rk}^p V_p^i V_j^r + N_{jk}^p V_r^i V_p^r,$$

while a Nijenhuis tensor is

$$N_{jk}^i = V_j^p \partial_p V_k^i - V_k^p \partial_p V_j^i - V_p^i (\partial_j V_k^p - \partial_k V_j^p).$$

In a generic case all characteristic velocities μ^k are *pairwise distinct*. If all components of a Haantjes tensor vanish, then corresponding hydrodynamic type system

$$u_t^i = V_k^i(\mathbf{u}) u_x^k$$

can be diagonalised, i.e. rewritten in the Riemann invariants

$$r_t^i = \mu^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i$$

by an appropriate invertible point transformation $r^k(\mathbf{u})$.

A Haantjes tensor and Integrable Hydrodynamic Type Systems

If all components of a Haantjes tensor

$$H_{jk}^i = N_{pr}^i V_j^p V_k^r - N_{jr}^p V_p^i V_k^r - N_{rk}^p V_p^i V_j^r + N_{jk}^p V_r^i V_p^r$$

vanish,

A Haantjes tensor and Integrable Hydrodynamic Type Systems

If all components of a Haantjes tensor

$$H_{jk}^i = N_{pr}^i V_j^p V_k^r - N_{jr}^p V_p^i V_k^r - N_{rk}^p V_p^i V_j^r + N_{jk}^p V_r^i V_p^r$$

vanish, but **not all** characteristic velocities μ^k are *pairwise distinct*, then corresponding hydrodynamic type system

$$u_t^i = V_k^i(\mathbf{u}) u_x^k$$

cannot be diagonalised, i.e. *cannot be rewritten in the Riemann invariants*

$$r_t^i = \mu^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i.$$

A Haantjes tensor and Integrable Hydrodynamic Type Systems

If all components of a Haantjes tensor

$$H_{jk}^i = N_{pr}^i V_j^p V_k^r - N_{jr}^p V_p^i V_k^r - N_{rk}^p V_p^i V_j^r + N_{jk}^p V_r^i V_p^r$$

vanish, but **not all** characteristic velocities μ^k are *pairwise distinct*, then corresponding hydrodynamic type system

$$u_t^i = V_k^i(\mathbf{u}) u_x^k$$

cannot be diagonalised, i.e. *cannot be rewritten in the Riemann invariants*

$$r_t^i = \mu^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i.$$

The **Statement**: *If a hydrodynamic type system is integrable by Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method, then all components of a Haantjes tensor vanish.*

A Haantjes tensor and Integrable Hydrodynamic Type Systems

If all components of a Haantjes tensor

$$H_{jk}^i = N_{pr}^i V_j^p V_k^r - N_{jr}^p V_p^i V_k^r - N_{rk}^p V_p^i V_j^r + N_{jk}^p V_r^i V_p^r$$

vanish, but **not all** characteristic velocities μ^k are *pairwise distinct*, then corresponding hydrodynamic type system

$$u_t^i = V_k^i(\mathbf{u}) u_x^k$$

cannot be diagonalised, i.e. *cannot be rewritten in the Riemann invariants*

$$r_t^i = \mu^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i.$$

The **Statement**: *If a hydrodynamic type system is integrable by Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method, then all components of a Haantjes tensor vanish. Then this hydrodynamic type system can be reduced to a **block-diagonal** structure by an appropriate invertible point transformation $\tilde{u}^k(\mathbf{u})$.*

Hydrodynamic Reductions. Dirac Delta-Functional Ansatz

Under a delta-functional ansatz (a **non-isospectral** case, 2012, G.A. El, V.B. Taranov, MVP),

$$f(\eta, x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N u^i(x, t) \delta(\eta - \eta^i(x, t)),$$

Hydrodynamic Reductions. Dirac Delta-Functional Ansatz

Under a delta-functional ansatz (a **non-isospectral** case, 2012, G.A. El, V.B. Taranov, MVP),

$$f(\eta, x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N u^i(x, t) \delta(\eta - \eta^i(x, t)),$$

system

$$f_t + (sf)_x = 0,$$

$$s(\eta) = S(\eta) + \int_0^\infty G(\mu, \eta) f(\mu) [s(\mu) - s(\eta)] d\mu,$$

Hydrodynamic Reductions. Dirac Delta-Functional Ansatz

Under a delta-functional ansatz (a **non-isospectral** case, 2012, G.A. El, V.B. Taranov, MVP),

$$f(\eta, x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N u^i(x, t) \delta(\eta - \eta^i(x, t)),$$

system

$$f_t + (sf)_x = 0,$$

$$s(\eta) = S(\eta) + \int_0^\infty G(\mu, \eta) f(\mu) [s(\mu) - s(\eta)] d\mu,$$

reduces to a $2N \times 2N$ quasilinear system for $u^i(x, t)$ and $\eta^i(x, t)$,

$$u_t^i = (u^i v^i)_x, \quad \eta_t^i = v^i \eta_x^i,$$

Hydrodynamic Reductions. Dirac Delta-Functional Ansatz

Under a delta-functional ansatz (a **non-isospectral** case, 2012, G.A. El, V.B. Taranov, MVP),

$$f(\eta, x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^N u^i(x, t) \delta(\eta - \eta^i(x, t)),$$

system

$$f_t + (sf)_x = 0,$$

$$s(\eta) = S(\eta) + \int_0^\infty G(\mu, \eta) f(\mu) [s(\mu) - s(\eta)] d\mu,$$

reduces to a $2N \times 2N$ quasilinear system for $u^i(x, t)$ and $\eta^i(x, t)$,

$$u_t^i = (u^i v^i)_x, \quad \eta_t^i = v^i \eta_x^i,$$

where v^i can be recovered from the linear system (here $\zeta^i = -S(\eta^i)$)

$$v^i = \zeta^i + \sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon^{mi} u^m (v^m - v^i), \quad \epsilon^{ki} = G(\eta^k, \eta^i), \quad k \neq i.$$

Block-Diagonal Hydrodynamic Type Systems

Introducing new field variables

$$r^i = -\frac{1}{u^i} \left(1 + \sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon^{mi} u^m \right),$$

this $2N \times 2N$ quasilinear system

$$u_t^i = (u^i v^i)_x, \quad \eta_t^i = v^i \eta_x^i,$$

Block-Diagonal Hydrodynamic Type Systems

Introducing new field variables

$$r^i = -\frac{1}{u^i} \left(1 + \sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon^{mi} u^m \right),$$

this $2N \times 2N$ quasilinear system

$$u_t^i = (u^i v^i)_x, \quad \eta_t^i = v^i \eta_x^i,$$

can be rewritten in a block-diagonal form

$$r_t^i = v^i r_x^i + p^i \eta_x^i, \quad \eta_t^i = v^i \eta_x^i,$$

where

$$u^i = \sum_{m=1}^N \beta_{mi}, \quad v^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \sum_{m=1}^N \zeta^m \beta_{mi}, \quad p^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \left(\sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon_{,\eta^i}^{mi} (v^m - v^i) u^m + (\zeta^i)' \right).$$

Block-Diagonal Hydrodynamic Type Systems

Now we study integrability aspects of quasilinear systems

$$u_t^i = V_k^i(\mathbf{u}) u_x^k,$$

whose matrix V consists of N Jordan blocks of size 2×2 :

$$\begin{aligned} r_t^i &= v^i r_x^i + p^i \eta_x^i, \\ \eta_t^i &= v^i \eta_x^i, \end{aligned}$$

$i = 1, \dots, N$, where the coefficients $v^i(r, \eta)$ and $p^i(r, \eta)$ are functions of the N dependent variables $r = (r^1, \dots, r^N)$ and N dependent variables $\eta = (\eta^1, \dots, \eta^N)$.

Block-Diagonal Hydrodynamic Type Systems

Now we study integrability aspects of quasilinear systems

$$u_t^i = V_k^i(\mathbf{u})u_x^k,$$

whose matrix V consists of N Jordan blocks of size 2×2 :

$$\begin{aligned} r_t^i &= v^i r_x^i + p^i \eta_x^i, \\ \eta_t^i &= v^i \eta_x^i, \end{aligned}$$

$i = 1, \dots, N$, where the coefficients $v^i(r, \eta)$ and $p^i(r, \eta)$ are functions of the N dependent variables $r = (r^1, \dots, r^N)$ and N dependent variables $\eta = (\eta^1, \dots, \eta^N)$.

Their commuting flows $u_y^i = W_k^i(\mathbf{u})u_x^k$ are in the same form (2021, E.V. Ferapontov, MVP)

$$\begin{aligned} r_y^i &= w^i r_x^i + q^i \eta_x^i, \\ \eta_y^i &= w^i \eta_x^i. \end{aligned}$$

Then unknown expressions $w^i(\mathbf{r}, \eta)$, $q^i(\mathbf{r}, \eta)$ can be found from the compatibility conditions $(r_y^i)_t = (r_t^i)_y$, $(\eta_y^i)_t = (\eta_t^i)_y$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Block-Diagonal Hydrodynamic Type Systems

Indeed, the compatibility conditions

$$(r_y^i)_t = (r_t^i)_y, \quad (\eta_y^i)_t = (\eta_t^i)_y, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

lead to the set of equations

$$w_{r^i}^i = a_i q^i, \quad w_{\eta^i}^i = b_i q^i + q_{r^i}^i,$$

where we denote

$$a_i = \frac{v_{r^i}^i}{p^i}, \quad b_i = \frac{v_{\eta^i}^i - p_{r^i}^i}{p^i}.$$

Block-Diagonal Hydrodynamic Type Systems

Indeed, the compatibility conditions

$$(r_y^i)_t = (r_t^i)_y, \quad (\eta_y^i)_t = (\eta_t^i)_y, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

lead to the set of equations

$$w_{r^i}^i = a_i q^i, \quad w_{\eta^i}^i = b_i q^i + q_{r^i}^i,$$

where we denote

$$a_i = \frac{v_{r^i}^i}{p^i}, \quad b_i = \frac{v_{\eta^i}^i - p_{r^i}^i}{p^i}.$$

$$w_{r^j}^i = a_{ij}(w^j - w^i), \quad w_{\eta^j}^i = b_{ij}(w^j - w^i) + a_{ij}q^j,$$

$$q_{r^j}^i = c_{ij}(w^j - w^i) - a_{ij}q^i, \quad q_{\eta^j}^i = d_{ij}(w^j - w^i) + c_{ij}q^j - b_{ij}q^i,$$

where we denote

$$a_{ij} = \frac{v_{r^j}^i}{v^j - v^i}, \quad b_{ij} = \frac{v_{\eta^j}^i - a_{ij}p^j}{v^j - v^i}, \quad c_{ij} = \frac{p_{r^j}^i + a_{ij}p^j}{v^j - v^i}, \quad d_{ij} = \frac{p_{\eta^j}^i + b_{ij}p^j - c_{ij}p^j}{v^j - v^i}.$$

Integrability Conditions I

The list of integrability conditions for every pair of distinct indices is

$$a_{i,rj} = 0, \quad a_{ij,ri} = a_{ij}a_{ji} + a_i c_{ij};$$

$$a_{i,\eta j} = 0, \quad b_{ij,ri} = b_{ij}a_{ji} + a_{ij}c_{ji} + a_i d_{ij};$$

$$b_{i,rj} = 2a_{ij}a_{ji} + 2a_i c_{ij},$$

$$a_{ij,\eta i} = a_{ij}b_{ji} - c_{ij}a_{ji} + b_i c_{ij} + c_{ij,r i};$$

$$b_{i,\eta j} = 2a_{ij}c_{ji} + 2b_{ij}a_{ji} + 2a_i d_{ij},$$

$$b_{ij,\eta i} = b_{ij}b_{ji} + a_{ij}d_{ji} - d_{ij}a_{ji} - c_{ij}c_{ji} + b_i d_{ij} + d_{ij,r i};$$

$$a_{ij,rj} = b_j a_{ij} - a_j b_{ij} - a_{ij}^2, \quad a_{ij,\eta j} = b_{ij,rj};$$

$$c_{ij,rj} = b_j c_{ij} - a_j d_{ij} - 2a_{ij}c_{ij}, \quad c_{ij,\eta j} = d_{ij,rj}.$$

Integrability Conditions II

The list of integrability conditions for every triad of distinct indices is

$$a_{ij,r^k} = a_{ij}a_{jk} + a_{ik}a_{kj} - a_{ij}a_{ik}.$$

$$a_{ij,\eta^k} = a_{ij}b_{jk} + a_{ik}c_{kj} + b_{ik}a_{kj} - a_{ij}b_{ik},$$

$$b_{ij,r^k} = b_{ij}a_{jk} + a_{ik}b_{kj} + a_{ij}c_{jk} - a_{ik}b_{ij}.$$

$$b_{ij,\eta^k} = a_{ij}d_{jk} + a_{ik}d_{kj} + b_{ij}b_{jk} + b_{ik}b_{kj} - b_{ij}b_{ik}.$$

$$c_{ij,r^k} = c_{ij}a_{jk} + c_{ik}a_{kj} - c_{ij}a_{ik} - c_{ik}a_{ij}.$$

$$c_{ij,\eta^k} = c_{ij}b_{jk} + c_{ik}c_{kj} + d_{ik}a_{kj} - a_{ij}d_{ik} - c_{ij}b_{ik},$$

$$d_{ij,r^k} = d_{ij}a_{jk} + c_{ij}c_{jk} + c_{ik}b_{kj} - a_{ik}d_{ij} - c_{ik}b_{ij}.$$

$$d_{ij,\eta^k} = c_{ij}d_{jk} + c_{ik}d_{kj} + d_{ij}b_{jk} + d_{ik}b_{kj} - b_{ij}d_{ik} - b_{ik}d_{ij}.$$

Commuting Flows

The block-diagonal system

$$r_t^i = v^i r_x^i + p^i \eta_x^i, \quad \eta_t^i = v^i \eta_x^i,$$

where

$$u^i = \sum_{m=1}^N \beta_{mi}, \quad v^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \sum_{m=1}^N \zeta^m \beta_{mi}, \quad p^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \left(\sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon_{,\eta^i}^{mi} (v^m - v^i) u^m + (\zeta^i)' \right),$$

possesses infinitely many commuting block-diagonal flows

$$r_y^i = w^i r_x^i + q^i \eta_x^i, \quad \eta_y^i = w^i \eta_x^i,$$

where

$$w^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \sum_{m=1}^N \varphi^m \beta_{mi}, \quad q^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \left(\sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon_{,\eta^i}^{mi} (w^m - w^i) u^m - r^i \mu^i + \varphi_{,\eta^i}^i \right).$$

Here $\mu^i(\eta^i)$ are N arbitrary functions of one variable and the functions $\varphi^i(\eta^1, \dots, \eta^N)$ satisfy the relations $\partial_{\eta^k} \varphi^i = \epsilon^{ki} \mu^k$, $k \neq i$. The general commuting flow depends on $2N$ arbitrary functions of one variable: N functions $\mu^i(\eta^i)$, plus extra N functions coming from φ^i .

Conservation Laws

Conservation laws $h_t = g_x$ provide an alternative way to derive integrability conditions for the block-diagonal system

$$r_t^i = v^i r_x^i + p^i \eta_x^i, \quad \eta_t^i = v^i \eta_x^i.$$

Their existence leads to a system of second-order linear PDEs

$$h_{r^i r^i} = b_i h_{r^i} - a_i h_{\eta^i}, \quad h_{r^i \eta^j} = a_{ji} h_{\eta^j} + c_{ji} h_{r^j} + b_{ij} h_{r^i},$$

$$h_{r^i r^j} = a_{ij} h_{r^i} + a_{ji} h_{r^j}, \quad h_{\eta^i \eta^j} = d_{ij} h_{r^i} + d_{ji} h_{r^j} + b_{ij} h_{\eta^i} + b_{ji} h_{\eta^j},$$

where $g_{r^i} = v^i h_{r^i}$, $g_{\eta^i} = p^i h_{r^i} + v^i h_{\eta^i}$.

The general conservation law has the form ($\sigma^i(\eta^i)$ are arbitrary functions)

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^N u^m \psi^m(\eta) + \sum_{m=1}^N \sigma^m(\eta^m) \right)_t = \left(\sum_{m=1}^N u^m v^m \psi^m(\eta) + \sum_{m=1}^N \tau^m(\eta^m) \right)_x,$$

where $(\tau^i)' = (\sigma^i)' \zeta^i$ and $\psi_{,\eta^k}^i = (\sigma^j)' \epsilon^{ik}$, $k \neq i$. This general conservation law depends on $2N$ arbitrary functions of one variable: N functions $\sigma^i(\eta^i)$, plus extra N functions coming from ψ^j .

Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method

If the hydrodynamic type system $u_t = V(u)u_x$ has a commuting flow $u_y = W(u)u_x$, where $V(u)$ and $W(u)$ are $N \times N$ matrices (the commutativity conditions $u_{ty} = u_{yt}$ impose differential constraints on V and W),

Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method

If the hydrodynamic type system $u_t = V(u)u_x$ has a commuting flow $u_y = W(u)u_x$, where $V(u)$ and $W(u)$ are $N \times N$ matrices (the commutativity conditions $u_{ty} = u_{yt}$ impose differential constraints on V and W), then the matrix relation

$$W(u) = Ix + V(u)t,$$

where I is the $N \times N$ identity matrix, defines an implicit solution $u(x, t)$. Note that, due to the commutativity conditions, only N out of the above N^2 relations will be functionally independent.

Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method

If the hydrodynamic type system $u_t = V(u)u_x$ has a commuting flow $u_y = W(u)u_x$, where $V(u)$ and $W(u)$ are $N \times N$ matrices (the commutativity conditions $u_{ty} = u_{yt}$ impose differential constraints on V and W), then the matrix relation

$$W(u) = I x + V(u) t,$$

where I is the $N \times N$ identity matrix, defines an implicit solution $u(x, t)$. Note that, due to the commutativity conditions, only N out of the above N^2 relations will be functionally independent. For commuting block-diagonal systems

$$\begin{aligned} r_t^i &= v^i r_x^i + p^i \eta_x^i, & \eta_t^i &= v^i \eta_x^i, \\ r_y^i &= w^i r_x^i + q^i \eta_x^i, & \eta_y^i &= w^i \eta_x^i, \end{aligned}$$

the hodograph formula becomes

$$w^i(r, \eta) = x + v^i(r, \eta) t, \quad q^i(r, \eta) = p^i(r, \eta) t,$$

which is a system of $2N$ implicit relations for the $2N$ dependent variables.

Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method

Denote β_{ik} the matrix elements of $\hat{\beta}$ (indices i and k are allowed to coincide). Then we obtain the following formulae for u^i , v^i and p^i :

$$u^i = \sum_{m=1}^N \beta_{mi}, \quad v^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \sum_{m=1}^N \zeta^m \beta_{mi}, \quad p^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \left(\sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon_{,\eta^i}^{mi} (v^m - v^i) u^m + (\zeta^i)' \right).$$

Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method

Denote β_{ik} the matrix elements of $\hat{\beta}$ (indices i and k are allowed to coincide). Then we obtain the following formulae for u^i , v^i and p^i :

$$u^i = \sum_{m=1}^N \beta_{mi}, \quad v^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \sum_{m=1}^N \zeta^m \beta_{mi}, \quad p^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \left(\sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon_{,\eta^i}^{mi} (v^m - v^i) u^m + (\zeta^i)' \right).$$

Then the general solution of the block-diagonal system

$$\begin{aligned} r_t^i &= v^i r_x^i + p^i \eta_x^i, \\ \eta_t^i &= v^i \eta_x^i, \end{aligned}$$

is determined by

Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method

Denote β_{ik} the matrix elements of $\hat{\beta}$ (indices i and k are allowed to coincide). Then we obtain the following formulae for u^i, v^i and p^i :

$$u^i = \sum_{m=1}^N \beta_{mi}, \quad v^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \sum_{m=1}^N \zeta^m \beta_{mi}, \quad p^i = \frac{1}{u^i} \left(\sum_{m \neq i} \epsilon_{,\eta^i}^{mi} (v^m - v^i) u^m + (\zeta^i)' \right).$$

Then the general solution of the block-diagonal system

$$\begin{aligned} r_t^i &= v^i r_x^i + p^i \eta_x^i, \\ \eta_t^i &= v^i \eta_x^i, \end{aligned}$$

is determined by

$$r^i = \frac{\varphi_{,\eta^i}^i - (\zeta^i)' t}{\mu^i}, \quad \varphi^i(\eta^1, \dots, \eta^N) = x + \zeta^i(\eta^i) t;$$

where $\mu^i(\eta^i)$ are arbitrary functions of their arguments and the functions $\varphi^i(\eta^1, \dots, \eta^N)$ satisfy the relations $\varphi_{,\eta^k}^i = \epsilon^{ki}(\eta^i, \eta^k) \mu^k(\eta^k)$, $i \neq k$. The last N above equations define $\eta^i(x, t)$ as implicit functions of x and t ; then the first N equations define $r^i(x, t)$ explicitly.

Block-Diagonal Hydrodynamic Type Systems and WDVV Associativity Equations

Let us recall: if all components of a Haantjes tensor

$$H_{jk}^i = N_{pr}^i V_j^p V_k^r - N_{jr}^p V_p^i V_k^r - N_{rk}^p V_p^i V_j^r + N_{jk}^p V_r^i V_p^r$$

vanish,

Block-Diagonal Hydrodynamic Type Systems and WDVV Associativity Equations

Let us recall: if all components of a Haantjes tensor

$$H_{jk}^i = N_{pr}^i V_j^p V_k^r - N_{jr}^p V_p^i V_k^r - N_{rk}^p V_p^i V_j^r + N_{jk}^p V_r^i V_p^r$$

vanish, but **not all** characteristic velocities μ^k are *pairwise distinct*, then corresponding hydrodynamic type system

$$u_t^i = V_k^i(\mathbf{u}) u_x^k$$

cannot be diagonalised, i.e. *cannot be rewritten in the Riemann invariants*

$$r_t^i = \mu^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i.$$

Block-Diagonal Hydrodynamic Type Systems and WDVV Associativity Equations

Let us recall: if all components of a Haantjes tensor

$$H_{jk}^i = N_{pr}^i V_j^p V_k^r - N_{jr}^p V_p^i V_k^r - N_{rk}^p V_p^i V_j^r + N_{jk}^p V_r^i V_p^r$$

vanish, but **not all** characteristic velocities μ^k are *pairwise distinct*, then corresponding hydrodynamic type system

$$u_t^i = V_k^i(\mathbf{u}) u_x^k$$

cannot be diagonalised, i.e. *cannot be rewritten in the Riemann invariants*

$$r_t^i = \mu^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i.$$

The **Statement**: *If a hydrodynamic type system is integrable by Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method, then all components of a Haantjes tensor vanish.*

Block-Diagonal Hydrodynamic Type Systems and WDVV Associativity Equations

Let us recall: if all components of a Haantjes tensor

$$H_{jk}^i = N_{pr}^i V_j^p V_k^r - N_{jr}^p V_p^i V_k^r - N_{rk}^p V_p^i V_j^r + N_{jk}^p V_r^i V_p^r$$

vanish, but **not all** characteristic velocities μ^k are *pairwise distinct*, then corresponding hydrodynamic type system

$$u_t^i = V_k^i(\mathbf{u}) u_x^k$$

cannot be diagonalised, i.e. *cannot be rewritten in the Riemann invariants*

$$r_t^i = \mu^i(\mathbf{r}) r_x^i.$$

The **Statement**: *If a hydrodynamic type system is integrable by Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method, then all components of a Haantjes tensor vanish. Then this hydrodynamic type system can be reduced to a **block-diagonal** structure by an appropriate invertible point transformation $\tilde{u}^k(\mathbf{u})$.*

Block-Diagonal Hydrodynamic Type Systems and WDVV Associativity Equations

B.A. Dubrovin considered remarkable WDVV associativity equations, whose solutions determine families (primary flows) of commuting Hamiltonian Egorov hydrodynamic type systems integrable by Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method.

Block-Diagonal Hydrodynamic Type Systems and WDVV Associativity Equations

B.A. Dubrovin considered remarkable WDVV associativity equations, whose solutions determine families (primary flows) of commuting Hamiltonian Egorov hydrodynamic type systems integrable by Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method. Most of his attention was concentrated on **diagonalisable** hydrodynamic type systems.

Block-Diagonal Hydrodynamic Type Systems and WDVV Associativity Equations

B.A. Dubrovin considered remarkable WDVV associativity equations, whose solutions determine families (primary flows) of commuting Hamiltonian Egorov hydrodynamic type systems integrable by Tsarev's Generalised Hodograph Method. Most of his attention was concentrated on **diagonalisable** hydrodynamic type systems.

Our Claim is: *Dubrovin's Program can be easily extended to a non-diagonalisable case due to existence of a special coordinate system, where velocity matrices can be reduced to a block-diagonal form.*

For instance, in the three-component case, one has **three** options: three distinct characteristic velocities; two distinct characteristic velocities; one common characteristic velocity. In the four-component case, we have already **five** options: four distinct characteristic velocities; one Jordan block 2×2 and three distinct characteristic velocities; two Jordan blocks 2×2 and two distinct characteristic velocities; one Jordan block 3×3 and two distinct characteristic velocities; one Jordan block 4×4 and one common characteristic velocity only.

Some References



G.A. El, A.M. Kamchatnov, M.V. Pavlov, S.A. Zykov,
Kinetic equation for a soliton gas and its hydrodynamic reductions,
Journal of Nonlinear Science **21**, No. 2 (2008) 151-191.

<https://arxiv.org/abs/0802.1261>



M.V. Pavlov, V.B. Taranov, G.A. El,
Generalized hydrodynamic reductions of the kinetic equation for a
soliton gas, Theor. and Math. Phys. **171**, No. 2, (2012) 675-682.

<https://arxiv.org/abs/1105.4859>



E.V. Ferapontov, M.V. Pavlov,
Kinetic equation for soliton gas: integrable reductions.

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.11962>



B.A. Dubrovin, M.V. Pavlov, S.A. Zykov,
Linearly Degenerate Hamiltonian PDEs and a New Class of Solutions
to the WDVV Associativity Equations, Functional Analysis and Its
Applications **45**, No. 4 (2011) 278-290.